

AN UPPER BOUND FOR THE CARDINALITY OF AN s -DISTANCE SUBSET IN REAL EUCLIDEAN SPACE, II

EIICHI BANNAI¹, ETSUKO BANNAI and DENNIS STANTON²

Received 14 June 1982

It is shown that if X is an s -distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d , then $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s}$.

0. Introduction

A subset X in a metric space M is called an s -distance subset in M if there are s distinct positive distances $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s$ and all the α_i are realized.

Larman—Rogers—Seidel [5] proved that $|X| \leq (d+1)(d+4)/2$ for a 2-distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d . Subsequently, Bannai—Bannai [1] proved that $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s} + \binom{d+s-1}{s-1}$ for an s -distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d . (That is, $|X| \leq (d+1)(d+4)/2$ for $s=2$). Then Blokhuis [2] has shown that $|X| \leq (d+1)(d+2)/2$ for a 2-distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d . In the present paper we will generalize the result of Blokhuis [2] for all $s \geq 2$. Namely, we prove:

Theorem 1. *If X is an s -distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d , then we have*

$$|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s}.$$

Our basic idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is the same as that of Blokhuis [2]. However, in order to prove the result for all $s \geq 2$, we had to overcome certain technical complications. Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 which may be of independent interest, serve this purpose. A classical formula of Hobson on spherical harmonic plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.

We acknowledge that Theorem 1 was also proved by Blokhuis [3], independently.

¹ Supported in part by NSF grant MCS7903128 A01.

² Supported in part by NSF grant MCS.

AMS subject classification (1980): 05 B 99; 51 M 99.

2. Theorem 2

In this section the following theorem, which may be of independent interest, will be proved:

Theorem 2. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be independent variables, and let us write $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$. Let $0 \leq l \leq s+1$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{the space spanned by } \{\partial_1^{b_1} \partial_2^{b_2} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^s : b_1 + \dots + b_d = 2s - l + 1\} \\ &= \text{the space spanned by } \{x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_d^{a_d} : a_1 + \dots + a_d = l - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Theorem 2 is also stated in the following way. There are $\binom{2s-l+d}{d-1}$ partial differential operators $\partial_1^{b_1} \partial_2^{b_2} \dots \partial_d^{b_d}$ with $b_1 + \dots + b_d = 2s - l + 1$, and there are $\binom{l-2+d}{d-1}$ monomials $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_d^{a_d}$ with $a_1 + \dots + a_d = l - 1$.

Let M be the $\binom{2s-l+d}{d-1} \times \binom{l-2+d}{d-1}$ matrix whose entries (of each row) are the coefficients of each $\partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} (x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^s$ with respect to $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_d^{a_d}$. Then M has maximal rank. That is,

$$(1) \quad \text{rank of } M = \binom{l-2+d}{d-1}, \quad \text{if } l = 0, 1, \dots, s+1, \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{rank of } M = \binom{2s-l+d}{d-1}, \quad \text{if } l = s+1, \dots, 2s.$$

In order to prove Theorem 2 we first quote Hobson's formula:

Proposition 2.1. (Hobson) Let $P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$ be homogeneous polynomial of degree t and let $F(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)$ be a function of $r^2 = x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)[F(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)] = \left[\sum_{k=0}^t \frac{2^{t-2k}}{k!} \cdot \frac{d^{t-k}}{d(r^2)^{t-k}} \cdot F \cdot \Delta^k \right] P_t(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where Δ is the Laplacian.

Proof. See Hobson [4, page 126, Eq. (6)]. Also, Hobson's formula is easily proved by induction on the degree of t in $P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$. We may assume without loss of generality that P_t is a monomial of degree t , then apply ∂_1 to get

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_1 P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)] F(r^2) &= \sum_k \frac{2^{t-2k}}{k!} \{F^{t-k}(r^2) [\Delta^k \partial_1 P_t] + 2x_1 F^{(t-k+1)}(r^2) \Delta^k P_t\} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{2^{t-2k+1}}{k!} F^{(t-k+1)}(r^2) [x_1 \Delta^k P_t + 2k \Delta^{k-1} \partial_1 P_t] \end{aligned}$$

But $x_1 \Delta^k P_t + 2k \Delta^{k-1} \partial_1 P_t = \Delta^k (x_1 P_t)$ so we are done by induction. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. We need only a special case of Proposition 2.1 to prove Theorem 2. If $H_t(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree t then

$$(2.2) \quad (H_{t-2j}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) \Delta^j)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^s = M(s, d, t, j) r^{2(s-t+j)} H_{t-2j}(x_1, \dots, x_d),$$

where $M(s, d, t, j) > 0$ for $t - 2j \geq 0$ and $t - s \leq j$, and $M(s, d, t, j) = 0$ otherwise. In fact, the relation

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta(H_{t-2j}(x_1, \dots, x_d)r^{2j}) = 2j(d+2t-2j-2)H_{t-2j}(x_1, \dots, x_d)r^{2j-2}$$

coupled with Proposition 2.1 gives the following formula:

$$(2.4) \quad M(s, d, t, j) = \sum_{k=\max(t-s, 0)}^{\min(\lfloor t/2 \rfloor, j)} \frac{2^{t-2k}}{k!} s(s-1) \dots (s-t+k-1) \lambda(t, j) \dots \lambda(t-2k+2, j-k+1),$$

where $\lambda(t, j) = 2j(d+2t-2j-2) > 0$. (In fact, it is easy to evaluate the sum in (2.4) but we do not need this result.)

We find explicitly which polynomials $P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d)$ annihilate $(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^s$ for Theorem 2. This gives us the rank of the matrix M . Let $P_t(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ be written uniquely as

$$(2.5) \quad P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} H_{t-2j}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) \Delta^j.$$

So (2.2) implies that $P_t(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)^s = 0$ if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad P_t(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-s-1} H_{t-2j}(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_d) \Delta^j.$$

Thus, for $t \leq s$, $P_t \equiv 0$ and M has rank $\binom{t+d-1}{d-1} = \binom{2s-l+d}{d-1}$ if $l = 2s - t + 1$ ($= s + 1$), \dots , $2s + 1$. For $t > s$, M has rank

$$(2.7) \quad \dim \text{Hom}(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-s-1} \dim \text{Harm}(t-2j) = \dim \text{Harm}(2s-t).$$

Clearly, here the rank is

$$\binom{2s-t+d-1}{d-1} = \binom{l+d-2}{d-1} \quad \text{if } l = 2s - t + 1$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

3. Theorem 3

In this section we prove the following theorem which may also be of independent interest.

Theorem 3. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ let $m_i \in \mathbf{R}$ and $y^{(i)} = (y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_d^{(i)}) \in \mathbf{R}^d$. For fixed integers $0 \leq l-1 \leq s$ suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \|x - y^{(i)}\|^{2s}$$

is a polynomial in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of degree $\leq 2s - l$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^N m_i (y_1^{(i)})^{a_1} \dots (y_d^{(i)})^{a_d} = 0$$

for any non-negative integers a_1, \dots, a_d such that $0 \leq a_1 + \dots + a_d \leq l - 1$.

Proof. We have that $\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \|x - y^{(i)}\|^{2s}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2s - l$ in x_1, \dots, x_d if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \partial_1^{b_1} \partial_2^{b_2} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N m_i \|x - y^{(i)}\|^{2s} \right) = 0$$

for all b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d with $2s - l + 1 \leq b_1 + \dots + b_d \leq 2s$. By Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 - y_1^{(i)})^{a_1} \dots (x_d - y_d^{(i)})^{a_d} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 + \dots + b_d = 2s - l + 1} C_{b_1 \dots b_d}^{a_1 \dots a_d} \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} [(x_1 - y_1^{(i)})^2 + \dots + (x_d - y_d^{(i)})^2]^s \end{aligned}$$

for some real numbers $C_{b_1 \dots b_d}^{a_1 \dots a_d}$ which do not depend on i .

So, as polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_d , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N m_i (x_1 - y_1^{(i)})^{a_1} \dots (x_d - y_d^{(i)})^{a_d} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \sum_b C_{b_1 \dots b_d}^{a_1 \dots a_d} \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} ((x_1 - y_1^{(i)})^2 + \dots + (x_d - y_d^{(i)})^2)^s \\ &= \sum_b C_{b_1 \dots b_d}^{a_1 \dots a_d} \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \partial_1^{b_1} \dots \partial_d^{b_d} ((x_1 - y_1^{(i)})^2 + \dots + (x_d - y_d^{(i)})^2)^s = 0. \quad (\text{By (3.1).}) \end{aligned}$$

By putting $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_d = 0$, we get the desired result. ■

4. Completion of Proof of Theorem 1

Let X be an s -distance subset in \mathbf{R}^d with s nonzero distances $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$. Let us set

$$(4.1) \quad F_y(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s (\|y - x\|^2 - \alpha_i^2) / \prod_{i=1}^s \alpha_i^2.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we have only to show that the functions

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & F_y(x), (y \in X), \quad \text{and} \\ & \{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_d^{\lambda_d} : 0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq s - 1\} \end{aligned}$$

are linearly independent functions on \mathbf{R}^d , because it is shown in [1] that the space \mathcal{W}_s spanned by these functions is of dimension at most $\binom{d+s}{s} + \binom{d+s-1}{s-1}$ and because

the space spanned by $\{x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_d^{\lambda_d}; 0 \leq \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq s-1\}$ is of dimension $\binom{d+s-1}{s-1}$. Suppose that

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y F_y(x) + \sum_{0 < \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq s-1} C_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d} \cdot x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_d^{\lambda_d} = 0,$$

where $C_y (y \in X)$ and the $C_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d}$ are real numbers. We want to show that these are all 0. For this purpose, it is enough to show that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y y_1^{\lambda_1} \dots y_d^{\lambda_d} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq s-1.$$

If we choose $x = u \in X$ in (4.3) we get $(-1)^s C_u + \sum_{\lambda} C_{\lambda} u^{\lambda} = 0$. Multiplying this by C_u and summing over u yields

$$(4.5) \quad (-1)^s \cdot \sum_{y \in X} C_y^2 + \sum_{0 < \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq s-1} C_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d} \cdot \sum_{y \in X} C_y y_1^{\lambda_1} y_2^{\lambda_2} \dots y_d^{\lambda_d} = 0.$$

Then (4.4) implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y^2 = 0, \quad \text{and so}$$

$$(4.7) \quad C_y = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad y \in X.$$

Finally, (4.3) now implies $C_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d} = 0$.

Now, we want to prove (4.4) by induction on $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d$. Comparing the coefficients of x^{2s} in (4.3), we have

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y = 0.$$

So, we assume that

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y y_1^{\lambda_1} \dots y_d^{\lambda_d} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq l-2,$$

and we prove that

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y y_1^{\lambda_1} \dots y_d^{\lambda_d} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d \leq l-2 \quad \text{if} \quad l \leq s.$$

Next, we equate coefficients of $x^{2s-(l-1)}$ in (4.3). For $0 \leq l \leq s$, the second term has no such terms. So the coefficient of $x^{2s-(l-1)}$ in $\sum_{y \in X} C_y F_y(x)$ is zero. We compute this coefficient in another way by expanding (4.1) to find

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{y \in X} C_y F_y(x) = \sum_{y \in X} C_y \sum_{t=0}^s A_t \|x-y\|^{2(s-t)} = \sum_{t=0}^s A_t \sum_{y \in X} C_y \|x-y\|^{2(s-t)}$$

for some real numbers $0 \neq A_0, A_1, \dots, A_s$. Clearly $\sum_{y \in X} C_y \|x-y\|^{2(s-t)}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in x and y of degree $2(s-t)$. By our assumption (4.9), the y terms of degree 0 to $l-2$ vanish. So, as a polynomial in x , $\sum_{y \in X} C_y \|x-y\|^{2(s-t)}$ has degree $2(s-t)-(l-1)$. Thus the only term in (4.11) which allows degree $2s-(l-1)$ terms

in x is $t=0$, and $\sum_{y \in X} C_y \|x-y\|^{2s}$ is a polynomial in x of degree $\leq 2s-(l-1)$. However, there are no terms in $\sum_{y \in X} C_y F_y(x)$ with x degree $2s-(l-1)$, so $\sum_{y \in X} C_y \|x-y\|^{2s}$ has degree $\leq 2s-(l-1)-1$. So Theorem 3 (with $N=|X|$, $m_i=C_y$) implies (4.10). Thus, by induction we have shown (4.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark. It would be interesting to know whether there are s -distance subsets in \mathbb{R}^d which attain the equality in Theorem 1. We do not know any such examples with $s \geq 2$ at present.

References

- [1] E. BANNAI and E. BANNAI, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance subset in real Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 99—102.
- [2] A. BLOKHUIS, A new upper bound for the cardinality of 2-distance sets in Euclidean space, *Eindhoven Univ. of Technology, Memorandum* 1981—04, Feb. 1981.
- [3] A. BLOKHUIS, An upper bound for the cardinality of s -distance sets in E^d and H^d , *Eindhoven Univ. Techn. Memorandum* 1982—68, May 1982. (This is also part of his Ph. D. Thesis entitled "Few distance sets", 1983.)
- [4] E. W. HOBSON, *The Theory of Spherical and Ellipsoidal Harmonics*, Cambridge, 1931.
- [5] D. G. LARMAN, C. A. ROGERS and J. J. SEIDEL, On two-distance sets in Euclidean space, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1977), 261—267.

Eiichi Bannai and Etsuko Bannai

*Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210, U.S.A.*

Dennis Stanton

*School of Mathematics
The University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, U.S.A.*